RESPONSES TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION

WICKHAM ROAD

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
1	Support - A32 has become a hazardous and dangerous road for those travelling along it as well as local residents and business's trying to leave their premises because of parked cars.	Noted
2	Support - The excessive amount of vehicles parked make it very challenging. Pushy, impatient and the "I was here first" attitudes is very common, altercations and unnecessary situations are often heard and experienced on the Wickham Road.	Noted
3	Support - As a nearby resident who has to drive, run or walk along this stretch of road to go anywhere I have been shocked by the dangerous albeit legal parking. Restrictions will make driving along the road safer because at the moment parked cars force cars traveling north into the middle of the road on a bend.	Noted
4	Support - Traffic flowing in a south easterly direction have a reduced visibility caused by parking. Will the effects of the Order on parking in Furzehall Ave and surrounding roads be taken into consideration, as the area is ifton affected by inconsiderate parking.	Noted
5	Support - On hearing that the local council were now trying to do something about the dangerous parking on the A32 I was in total agreement with this aim of stopping all parking on such a busy road. [Does not agree with any parking being retained].	Noted

6	Support - There is a row of parked cars from the cemetery down to near the small roundabout causing the traffic to drive in the middle of the road causing on coming traffic to move over this will end up killing someone soon it needs urgent attention!!	Noted
7	Object - I am an NHS employee who works in the Hampshire County Council building The Parkway for the Multi Agency Safeguarding Team. Unfortunately as I am not a HCC employee I am not entitled to use their parking facilities and therefore I have no option but to park on the main A32 Wickham Road, along with many of my working colleagues.	Parking on the public highway is not a right, it can only be tolerated where it does not lead to problems of obstruction and safety hazards. These problems arise here.
8	Object - cannot believe that you are looking to make that road and slightly in towards Parkway as no parking. There is a lot of us that work at the Parkway building, and there is not enough parking in the car park and that's why people park along the road.	Parking on the public highway is not a right, it can only be tolerated where it does not lead to problems of obstruction and safety hazards. These problems arise here.
9	Object - Whilst understanding the reason for adding various restrictions in the area, this will have a knock-on effect for local business parks and residential areas close to the location as there is no public parking - pay or otherwise - in the locality, to accommodate the work force. I realise this problem is not peculiar to this work-force but by encouraging companies to set-up outside of town centres, parking facilities are often a necessity due to the difficulty of realistic public transport links.	Parking on the public highway is not a right, it can only be tolerated where it does not lead to problems of obstruction and safety hazards. These problems arise here.
10	Object - We need the parking on the Wickham Road A34 in order to be able to park for work. If not we will be parking on the Furzehall housing estate - who have complained before about us parking there. It will just displace the parking to another area! It makes the road safer as it is such a busy road and actually slows people down before the roundabout and slows people down and even makes them stop to give way!	Parking on the public highway is not a right, it can only be tolerated where it does not lead to problems of obstruction and safety hazards. These problems arise here.

11	Oject - Currently and in the past people have received abuse from local residents for parking outside their homes which is likely to increase. People have not been parking in some local residential areas out of courtesy and because of the abuse received, we are constantly told we are "not allowed" to park in places where there are no restrictions. However with no other option we will have to brave parking outside homes which will cause more problems (abusive notes, calls to the police/council etc.) even when doing nothing wrong (i.e. not block driveways or parking where there are any restrictions).	This risk of parking in residential streets is noted, and will be addressed separately depending upon the scale of the problem. This risk does not provide sufficient reason to discontinue to proposal
12	Object - If the parking restrictions go ahead on Wickham Road it would mean that there would be no where left to park and it will push vehicles to park on the housing estate and nearby roads which will also cause a problem. I appreciate that Wickham Road is a busy road however I believe having cars parked there actually slows the traffic down and makes the road safer.	This risk of parking in residential streets is noted, and will be addressed separately depending upon the scale of the problem. This risk does not provide sufficient reason to discontinue to proposal
13	Object - There is inadequate parking in this area despite the number of office buildings, all this will do is force large numbers of people to cross a busy main road which will now have faster moving cars coming along it as they will no longer have to slow down to let other cars pass. It will also lead to large numbers of people parking in the local housing estates and enraging the local residents.	This risk of parking in residential streets is noted, and will be addressed separately depending upon the scale of the problem. This risk does not provide sufficient reason to discontinue to proposal
14	Object - I appreciate that the cars parked along the road is unsightly but I have noticed that the traffic has slowed down greatly due to the parked cars, which is surely a good thing! Parking in Furzehall Avenue which has no parking restrictions will increase if proposal went ahead.	This risk of parking in residential streets is noted, and will be addressed separately depending upon the scale of the problem. This risk does not provide sufficient reason to discontinue to proposal
15		